



TITLE:

# Remarks on $\lambda$ -commuting operators (The research of geometric structures in quantum information based on Operator Theory and related topics)

AUTHOR(S):

Jung, Sungeun; Kim, Hyoungji; Ko, Eungil

---

CITATION:

Jung, Sungeun ...[et al]. Remarks on  $\lambda$ -commuting operators (The research of geometric structures in quantum information based on Operator Theory and related topics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2017, 2033: 106-114

ISSUE DATE:

2017-06

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/236776>

RIGHT:

# Remarks on $\lambda$ -commuting operators

Sungeun Jung\*, Hyounji Kim, and Eungil Ko

## Abstract

In this paper, we study properties of  $\lambda$ -commuting operators. We give spectral and local spectral relations between  $\lambda$ -commuting operators. Moreover, we show that the operators  $\lambda$ -commuting with a unilateral shift are representable as weighted composition operators. We also provide the polar decomposition of the product of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting operators where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers with  $\lambda\mu > 0$ . Finally, we find the restriction of  $\mu$  for the product of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting quasihyponormal operators to be quasihyponormal.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is part of a paper submitted for possible publication in some journal.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable complex Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we write  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ ,  $\sigma_{le}(T)$ , and  $r(T)$  for the spectrum, the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum, the left essential spectrum, and the spectral radius of  $T$ , respectively.

We say that operators  $S$  and  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are  $\lambda$ -commuting if  $ST = \lambda TS$ , where  $\lambda$  is a complex number. In [3], S. Brown showed that every operator  $\lambda$ -commuting with a nonzero compact operator has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace, as one of the generalizations of the famous Lomonosov's theorem (see [10]). Since then, many mathematicians have been interested in  $\lambda$ -commuting operators.

Different classes of operators can be specified depending on the restriction on  $\lambda$  (see [11]). An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *normal* if  $T^*T = TT^*$ . We say that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is *hyponormal* if  $T^*T \geq TT^*$ . In [12], J. Yang and H. Du showed that if  $S$  and  $T$  are  $\lambda$ -commuting normal operators with  $ST \neq 0$ , then  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Moreover, M. Cho, J. Lee, and T. Yamazaki proved in [4] that if  $S$  and  $T$  are  $\lambda$ -commuting operators such that both  $S^*$  and  $T$  are hyponormal and  $ST \neq 0$ , then  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .

For  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , operators  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are said to be  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting if  $ST = \lambda TS$  and  $S^*T = \mu TS^*$ . By Fuglede-Putnam Theorem, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are normal and  $AX = XB$  for some  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $A^*X = XB^*$  (see [7]). Hence, if  $S$  is

---

<sup>0</sup>2010 Mathematics Subject Classification; 47A10, 47B20, 47A11.

normal and  $\lambda$ -commuting with  $T$ , then  $S$  and  $T$  are  $(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ -commuting. For a simple example, given any fixed complex constant  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ , suppose  $D$  is a diagonal operator given by  $De_n = \lambda^n e_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, every weighted shift  $W$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  given by  $We_n = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$  satisfies  $DW = \lambda WD$ . Since  $D$  is normal, the operators  $D$  and  $W$  are  $(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ -commuting by Fuglede-Putnam Theorem; we also observe that  $W$  and  $D$  are  $(\lambda^{-1}, \lambda)$ -commuting. For another example, the  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  are  $(\frac{1}{3}, 3)$ -commuting.

In this paper, we study properties of  $\lambda$ -commuting operators. We give spectral and local spectral relations between  $\lambda$ -commuting operators. Moreover, we show that the operators  $\lambda$ -commuting with a unilateral shift are representable as weighted composition operators. We also provide the polar decomposition of the product of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting operators where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers with  $\lambda\mu > 0$ . Finally, we find the restriction of  $\mu$  for the product of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting quasihyponormal operators to be quasihyponormal.

## 2 Preliminaries

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to have the *single-valued extension property* (or SVEP) if for every open set  $G$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and every analytic function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  with  $(T - z)f(z) \equiv 0$  on  $G$ , we have  $f(z) \equiv 0$  on  $G$ . For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and a vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , the set  $\rho_T(x)$ , called the *local resolvent* of  $T$  at  $x$ , consists of elements  $z_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that there exists an  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued analytic function  $f(z)$  defined in a neighborhood of  $z_0$  which verifies  $(T - z)f(z) \equiv x$ . The *local spectrum* of  $T$  at  $x$  is given by  $\sigma_T(x) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_T(x)$ . Moreover, we define the *local spectral subspace* of  $T$  as  $H_T(F) := \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \sigma_T(x) \subset F\}$ , where  $F$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to have *Dunford's property (C)* if  $H_T(F)$  is closed for each closed subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to have *Bishop's property ( $\beta$ )* if for every open subset  $G$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  and every sequence  $f_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued analytic functions such that  $(T - z)f_n(z)$  converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of  $G$ , then  $f_n(z)$  converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of  $G$ . The following implications are well known (see [2], [5], or [9] for more details):

$$\text{Bishop's property } (\beta) \Rightarrow \text{Dunford's property } (C) \Rightarrow \text{SVEP}.$$

### 3 Main results

In this section, we give several properties of  $\lambda$ -commuting operators. We first consider the product of  $\lambda$ -commuting operators. We say that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is *quasinilpotent* if  $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $r(ST) \leq r(S)r(T)$  and  $r(TS) \leq r(S)r(T)$ .
- (ii) If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ , then  $ST$  and  $TS$  are quasinilpotent.

Recall that an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *normaloid* if  $\|T\| = r(T)$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to belong to *class A* if  $|T^2| \geq |T|^2$ . Every operator which belongs to class A is normaloid, and hyponormal operators belong to class A (see [6]).

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $ST$  belongs to class A. If  $S$  or  $T$  is quasinilpotent, then  $ST = TS = 0$ .

We next provide spectral properties of  $\lambda$ -commuting operators.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfy  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $\sigma_\Delta \in \{\sigma_p, \sigma_{ap}, \sigma_{le}\}$ , the following assertions hold:

- (i) either  $0 \in \sigma_\Delta(T)$  or else  $\lambda\sigma_\Delta(S) \subset \sigma_\Delta(S)$ ;
- (ii) either  $0 \in \sigma_\Delta(S)$  or else  $\sigma_\Delta(T) \subset \lambda\sigma_\Delta(T)$ .

**Remark.** One can derive that  $T \ker(S - \mu) \subset \ker(S - \lambda\mu)$  and  $S \ker(T - \mu) \subset \ker(\lambda T - \mu)$  for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence,  $\ker(S)$  and  $\ker(T)$  are common invariant subspaces for  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) If  $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T)$ , then  $\sigma_{ap}(S) = \{0\}$  or  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .
- (ii) If  $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(S)$ , then  $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \{0\}$  or  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ .

Hence, if  $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(S) \cup \sigma_{ap}(T)$ , then  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

When  $\lambda$  is a root of unity, the inclusions in Theorem 3.3 become equalities, as follows:

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfy that  $ST = \lambda TS$  where  $\lambda$  is a root of unity. Then the following statements hold for  $\sigma_\Delta \in \{\sigma_p, \sigma_{ap}, \sigma_{le}\}$ :

- (i) If  $0 \notin \sigma_\Delta(T)$ , then  $\sigma_\Delta(S) = \lambda\sigma_\Delta(S)$ ;
- (ii) If  $0 \notin \sigma_\Delta(S)$ , then  $\sigma_\Delta(T) = \lambda\sigma_\Delta(T)$ .

Recall that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be an  $m$ -isometry if  $\sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} T^{*j} T^j = 0$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. In [1], it turned out that every  $m$ -isometry has approximate point spectrum contained in the unit circle.

**Corollary 3.6.** Suppose that  $S$  and  $T$  are operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  and  $S$  is an  $m$ -isometry for some positive integer  $m$ , then  $0 \in \sigma_p(T)$ .

We now consider local spectral properties of  $\lambda$ -commuting operators.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $\sigma_S(Tx) \subset \lambda \sigma_S(x)$  and  $\lambda \sigma_T(Sx) \subset \sigma_T(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (ii)  $TH_S(F) \subset H_S(\lambda F)$  for any subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- (iii) If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $SH_T(\lambda F) \subset H_T(F)$  for any subset  $F$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** Suppose that  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are  $\lambda$ -commuting where  $\lambda$  is a root of unity with order  $k$ . If  $\lambda$  is a root of unity with order  $k$  and  $S$  has Dunford's property (C), then  $H_S(F)$  is a common invariant subspace of  $S$  and  $T^k$ , where  $F$  is any closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Combining Corollary 3.8 with [12], we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** Assume that  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are  $\lambda$ -commuting. If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is hyponormal and  $\sigma(ST)$  consists of  $k$  distinct nonzero elements, then  $H_S(F)$  is a common invariant subspace of  $S$  and  $T^k$ .

For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we define the *quasinilpotent part* of  $T$ , denoted by  $H_0(T)$ , as  $H_0(T) := \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0\}$  (see [2] and [9] for more details).

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $H_0(S)$  is invariant for  $T$ .

Let  $H^2 = H^2(\mathbb{D})$  be the canonical Hardy space of the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ , and let  $H^\infty$  be the space of bounded functions in  $H^2$ . For an analytic map  $\varphi$  from  $\mathbb{D}$  into itself and  $u \in \mathbb{D}$ , the *weighted composition operator*  $W_{f,\varphi} : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$  is defined by  $W_{u,\varphi}h = u \cdot (h \circ \varphi)$ . In particular,  $C_\varphi := W_{1,\varphi}$  is said to be a *composition operator*. In the following theorem, we assert that if  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then the operators  $\lambda$ -commuting with the unilateral shift  $U$  on  $H^2$  given by  $(Uf)(z) = zf(z)$  are representable as weighted composition operators.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $U$  be the unilateral shift on  $H^2$  given by  $(Uf)(z) = zf(z)$ . Assume that  $S \in \mathcal{L}(H^2)$  and  $\lambda \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ . Then  $SU = \lambda US$  if and only if  $S = W_{u,\lambda z}$  for some  $u \in H^\infty$ .

For a bounded sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , a *weighted shift* on  $\mathcal{H}$  with weights  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is an operator  $T$  such that  $Te_n = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  denotes an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be weighted shifts in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with weights  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$ , respectively, and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $ST = \lambda TS$  if and only if  $\alpha_{n+1}\beta_n = \lambda\beta_{n+1}\alpha_n$  for all  $n$ .

In the following example, we consider the case when  $S$  is the *Bergman shift* determined by the weights  $\{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ .

**Example 3.13.** If  $S$  is the Bergman shift, then its weights form an increasing sequence. Then  $S$  is hyponormal. Suppose that  $T$  is any weighted shift with positive weights  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . By Proposition 3.12, it follows that  $ST = \lambda TS$  if and only if  $\beta_{n+1} = \frac{n+2}{\lambda\sqrt{(n+1)(n+3)}}\beta_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , that is,  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \sqrt{\frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}}\beta_0$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

For a positive integer  $n > 1$ , define  $J_r$  and  $J_l$  on  $\bigoplus_1^n \mathcal{H}$  by

$$J_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 3.14.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bigoplus_1^n \mathcal{H})$ . For a complex number  $\lambda$ , the following statements hold:

(i)  $TJ_r = \lambda J_r T$  if and only if

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ T_2 & \lambda T_1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ T_3 & \lambda T_2 & \lambda^2 T_1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{n-1} & \lambda T_{n-2} & \ddots & \ddots & \lambda^{n-2} T_1 & 0 \\ T_n & \lambda T_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda^{n-2} T_2 & \lambda^{n-1} T_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

(ii)  $TJ_l = \lambda J_l T$  if and only if

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{n-1}T_n & \lambda^{n-2}T_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda T_2 & T_1 \\ 0 & \lambda^{n-2}T_n & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda T_3 & T_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \lambda T_{n-1} & T_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda T_n & T_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & T_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

We next consider  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting operators. To obtain the polar decomposition of the product of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting operators, we show that their partial isometric parts and positive parts satisfy the following extended commuting relationships.

**Lemma 3.15.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers with  $\lambda\mu > 0$ . If  $S = U_S|S|$  and  $T = U_T|T|$  denote the polar decompositions, then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $|T|S = (\lambda^{-1}\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}S|T|$  and  $|S|T = (\lambda\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}T|S|$ ;
- (ii)  $|S|U_T = (\lambda\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}U_T|S|$  and  $|T|U_S = (\lambda^{-1}\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}U_S|T|$ ;
- (iii)  $|S||T| = |T||S|$ ,  $|S^*||T| = |T||S^*|$ , and  $|S||T^*| = |T^*||S|$ ;
- (iv)  $U_S U_T = U_T U_S$  and  $U_S^* U_T = U_T U_S^*$  if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are positive, and  $U_S U_T = -U_T U_S$  and  $U_S^* U_T = -U_T U_S^*$  if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are negative.

**Theorem 3.16.** Assume that  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers with  $\lambda\mu > 0$ . If  $ST = U_{ST}|ST|$  is the polar decomposition, then

$$U_{ST} = U_S U_T \text{ and } |ST| = (\lambda\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}|S||T|.$$

In addition, if  $TS = U_{TS}|TS|$  is the polar decomposition, then

$$U_{TS} = U_T U_S \text{ and } |TS| = (\lambda^{-1}\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}}|S||T|.$$

For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$ , the *Aluthge transform*  $\tilde{T}$  of  $T$  is defined by  $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . In [8], the authors showed several connections between operators and their Aluthge transforms.

**Corollary 3.17.** If  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting operators where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers with  $\lambda\mu > 0$ , then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{T}$  are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting and  $\widetilde{ST} = |\mu|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{S}\tilde{T} = \lambda|\mu|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{T}\tilde{S}$ .
- (ii)  $\tilde{S}$  and  $T$  are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting.
- (iii)  $S$  and  $\tilde{T}$  are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting.

**Corollary 3.18.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be  $\lambda$ -commuting for some nonzero real number  $\lambda$ . If  $\widetilde{S}$  is hyponormal and  $T$  is normal, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\widetilde{ST}$  is hyponormal.
- (ii)  $\sigma(ST) \neq \{0\}$ .
- (iii)  $\lambda = \pm 1$ .

Recall that an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *quasinormal* if  $T^*T$  commutes with  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.19.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting quasinormal operators such that  $ST \neq 0$ , where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real numbers with  $\lambda\mu > 0$ . Then  $ST$  is quasinormal if and only if  $\mu = \pm 1$ . In particular, if  $ST$  is quasinormal and one of  $S$  and  $T$  is normal, then  $\lambda = \mu = \pm 1$ .

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *quasihyponormal* if  $T^*(T^*T - TT^*)T \geq 0$ , or  $\|T^2x\| \geq \|T^*Tx\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . In the following theorem, we show that if  $|\mu| \leq 1$ , then the product of two  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting quasihyponormal operators is again quasihyponormal.

**Theorem 3.20.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be quasihyponormal operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting. If  $|\mu| \geq 1$ , then  $ST$  is quasihyponormal. Furthermore, if  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $|\mu| \geq 1$ , then  $TS$  is quasihyponormal.

An operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *nilpotent* if  $T^n = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ ; in this case, the smallest positive integer  $n$  with  $T^n = 0$  is referred to as the order of  $T$ .

**Corollary 3.21.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be quasihyponormal operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that are  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -commuting and  $ST \neq 0$ . If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  and  $|\mu| \geq 1$ , then  $ST$  is nilpotent of order 2 and one of  $S$  and  $T$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

**Corollary 3.22.** Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be normal and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be quasihyponormal with  $ST \neq 0$ . If  $ST = \lambda TS$  for some  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ , then both  $ST$  and  $TS$  are quasihyponormal; in particular, if  $|\lambda| > 1$ , then  $ST$  and  $TS$  are nilpotent of order 2.



## References

- [1] J. Agler and M. Stankus, *m-isometric transformations of Hilbert space I*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Th. **21** (1995), 383-429.
- [2] P. Aiena, *Fredholm and local spectral theory with applications to multipliers*, Kluwer Academic Pub., 2004.
- [3] S. Brown, *Connections between an operator and a compact operator that yield hyperinvariant subspaces*, J. Operator Theory **1**(1979), 117-121.
- [4] M. Cho, J. I. Lee, and T. Yamazaki, *On the operator equation  $AB = zBA$* , Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, e-2009, 4955.
- [5] I. Colojoara and C. Foias, *Theory of generalized spectral operators*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
- [6] T. Furuta, *Invitation to linear operators*, Taylor and Francis, 2001.
- [7] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [8] I. B. Jung, E. Ko, and C. Pearcy, *Aluthge transforms of operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Th. **37**(2000), 449-456.
- [9] K. Laursen and M. Neumann, *An introduction to local spectral theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [10] V. Lomonosov, *Invariant subspaces for operators commuting with compact operators*, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen **7**(1973), 55-56 (Russian).
- [11] K. Rasimi, A. Ibraimi and L. Gjoka, *Notes on  $\lambda$ -commuting operators*, Int. J. Pure. Appl. Math. **91** (2014), 191-196.
- [12] J. Yang and H. Du, *A note on commutativity up to a factor of bounded operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132**, 1713-1720.

Sungeun Jung  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Hankuk University of Foreign Studies  
 Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do, 17035  
 Korea  
 e-mail: sungeun@hufs.ac.kr

Hyoungji Kim and Eungil Ko

Department of Mathematics  
Ewha Womans University  
Seoul 120-750  
Korea  
e-mail: taize12@naver.com; eiko@ewha.ac.kr